

# Meeting of the Joint Sub-Committee of the Finance Committee and the Reform Working Group

Presentation by Australia and Japan

**Executive Council Meeting Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia 30 June - 4 July 2025** 

# **Agenda for Meeting 13**



Introduction	Document
Report of the Joint Sub-Committee of the Finance Committee and the Reform Working Group	2025 EC Doc 14.1
Development of Financial Contributions System	2025 EC Doc 14.2
Interim Contribution Unit	2025 EC Doc 14.3
Management of Future Arrears	2025 EC Doc 14.4
Nauru Arrears in Contributions	2025 EC Doc 14.5
Any other business?	

**Decision: Approve** the agenda



# Agenda item 1

Introduction

# Introduction



- JSC is co-chaired by the Chair of the Finance Committee (Japan) and Chair of the RWG (Australia), with member countries who nominated to join the JSC being Afghanistan, China, India, Korea, New Zealand, Pakistan, Thailand.
- All APPU members are welcome to join.
- The JSC is supported by the Reform Consultant, Mr Mark Lawley.
- Established by 2023 EC to review:
  - One major issue
    - Redesign of financial contributions system
  - Three other issues
    - Interim 0.50-unit class contribution unit
    - Future management of arrears in contributions
    - Nauru's arrears in contributions
- Treaty amendments expected from the work original timing was for these to be developed after 2025 EC.

# Introduction



- Timing of the adoption of Treaty changes is to be confirmed. Further details about the difficulty of Treaty adoption, as originally planned for 2026, can be found in:
  - 2025 EC Doc 13.1, and
  - 2025 EC Doc 13.3.
- Treaty updates proposed through the JSC are linked to those proposed through the RWG, as it is preferred by RWG members that all Treaty changes are put forward in one batch to reduce the impact on the teams who need to undertake domestic scrutiny processes.
- If the Treaty changes are delayed, the JSC will require continued support from the Reform Consultant, Mr Mark Lawley, to finalise proposed Treaty wording.



# Agenda item 2

Report of the Joint Sub-Committee of the Finance Committee and the Reform Working Group

# Report of the Joint Sub-Committee



- EC 2025 Doc 14.1 covers four items of work, broadly related to member contributions:
  - Financial contributions system for expenditure of the Union, covered in EC 2025 Doc 14.2.
  - Interim arrangement to accommodate the UPU 0.1-unit contribution class, covered in EC 2025 Doc 14.3.
  - Future policy and management system for arrears in member contributions, covered in EC 2025 Doc 14.4.
  - Nauru's arrears in contributions, covered in EC 2025 Doc 14.5.
- Recommendations of 2025 EC Doc 14.1 will be dealt with following agenda items 3 through 6.



# Agenda item 3

**Development of the Financial Contributions System** 

# Background



- Focus is the budget of the administrative section
  - Approx US\$ 200,000
  - Funded by member contributions based on classes of contribution units
- The Union's other two budgets are not covered by this review
  - Training section (College)
  - Special Activities Fund
- Funding has not been reviewed since 1965

# Why review the system?

Resolution C 6/2022 requests new contributions system to be established because traditional reference system (UPU) has a new single-unit structure that no longer relates to the APPU system

2019 - 2021

Contribution Units for UPU Expenses	Contribution Units for APPU Expenses
50, <mark>47,</mark> 45, <mark>43</mark> , 40, <mark>37</mark> , 35, <mark>33,</mark> 30, <mark>27,</mark> 25, <mark>23,</mark> 20, <mark>17</mark>	5
15, <mark>13,</mark> 10, <mark>7</mark> , 5	3
3, 1	2
0.5 (available only to LDCs and other countries designated by the CA)	1 (available only to LDCs and other countries as decided in the UPU system)
0.1 (available only to SIDS with population less than 200,000)	No corresponding contribution class

### 2022 onwards

Contribution Units for UPU Expenses	Contribution Units for APPU Expenses
Deced on scale of accessments for LIN	5
Based on scale of assessments for UN	3
expenses	2
0.5 (available only to LDCs and other	1 (available only to LDCs and other
countries designated by the CA)	countries as decided in the UPU
	system)
0.1 (available only to SIDS with population less than 200,000)	0.5 (available only to SIDS with population less than 200,000)

# **Key points**



- 2024 EC agreed that member contributions should only cover current activities (i.e., no new items for members to pay for)
- 2025 EC JSC is required to identify sustainable, fair and equitable contribution class system for APPU
  - Proposal prepared for JSC / EC to review
  - Includes definition of
    - Sustainable
      - System not subject to major change due to financial stress on members
    - Fair
      - Meets needs / interests of members and Administrative Section
      - Minimises financial impact of any change in system on members
    - Equitable
      - Recognises members' different financial capacities

# **Development of options (Variants)**



- Developed four initial options (Variants) and added a fifth after meeting on 5 June
  - Variant 1— APPU-specific fixed and variable charging components
  - Variant 2 UN Scale of Assessment (based on new UPU system at system design level) ("UPU 1.0")
  - Variant 3 new Variant – UN Scale of Assessment (based on new UPU system at practical application level) ("UPU 2.0")
  - Variant 4 (prior to 5 June this was Variant 3) combination of Variant 2 and the PAPU system of grouping members according to Tiers within the UN Scale
  - Variant 5 (prior to 5 June this was Variant 4) Status Quo with adjustments based on PUASP system

### Variant 1 – Fixed and variable costs system



- 25% of budget apportioned equally among all 32 members
- 75% of budget apportioned among members of 2, 3, 4 and 5-unit classes on pro-rata basis
- Principles
  - Fair and equitable sharing of 25% of budget among all members
  - Reasonable sharing of 75% of budget according to financial capacity
- Outcomes
  - 13 members decrease between -1% to -42%
  - 19 members increase between 4% to 16%
- Assessment
  - Interesting concept for all members to meet a portion of the budget equally
  - Impossible to design without impacting current payment levels
  - Ongoing debate re correct split of fixed and variable percentages divisive methodology

	Variant 1								
	25% of Budget Paid by All Members in Equal Shares; 75% of Budget Paid by Members in 2, 3, 4 and 5 Unit Classes on								
		•	Pro Ra	ta Basis					
Member	Member Contribution Per Fixed Component	Contribution Units for Calculating Variable Component	Member Contribution Per Variable Component	Total Member Contribution Per Variant 3	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (\$)	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (%)			
Afghanistan	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
Australia	1,593	5	11,584	13,177	-506	-3.70%			
Bangladesh	1,593	4	9,267	10,860	-86	-0.79%			
Bhutan	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
Brunei Darussalam	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Cambodia	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
China	1,593	5	11,584	13,177	-506	-3.70%			
Fiji	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
India	1,593	5	11,584	13,177	-506	-3.70%			
Indonesia	1,593	3	6,950	8,543	333	4.06%			
Iran	1,593	3	6,950	8,543	333	4.06%			
Japan	1,593	5	11,584	13,177	-506	-3.70%			
Korea	1,593	5	11,584	13,177	-506	-3.70%			
Lao	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
Malaysia	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Maldives	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Mongolia	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Myanmar	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
Nauru	1,593			1,593	224	16.41%			
Nepal	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
New Zealand	1,593	3	6,950	8,543	333	4.06%			
Pakistan	1,593	3	6,950	8,543	333	4.06%			
Papua New Guinea	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Philippines	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Samoa	1,593			1,593	224	16.41%			
Singapore	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Solomon Islands	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
Sri Lanka	1,593	3	6,950	8,543	333	4.06%			
Thailand	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
Tonga	1,593			1,593	224	16.41%			
Vanuatu	1,593			1,593	-1,144	-41.80%			
Vietnam	1,593	2	4,634	6,226	753	13.76%			
	50,969	66	152,908	203,877	0				

# Variant 1 – APPU-Specific (fixed/variable components)

# Variant 2 – UN Scale of Assessment (new UPU system)



- Note this is assessed at system design level, does not take into account the voluntary manner in which it is applied
- Important to understand how this applies to APPU
- Inequitable and unfair e.g.,
  - China increases 609%
  - Japan increases 273%
  - Korea increases 20%
  - All other members decrease between -2% to -99%

	Variant 2						
	Based on UN Scale of Assessment (UPU System)						
Member	% Share Based on UN Scale	Member Contribution Per UN Scale of Assessment	Contribution v	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (%)			
Afghanistan	0.019	38	-2,698	-98.60%			
Australia	6.591	13,438	-245	-1.79%			
Bangladesh	0.031	64	-10,883	-99.42%			
Bhutan	0.003	6	-2,730	-99.77%			
Brunei Darussalam	0.066	134	-5,340	-97.56%			
Cambodia	0.022	45	-2,692	-98.37%			
China	47.627	97,101	83,418	609.64%			
Fiji	0.012	25	-5,448	-99.53%			
India	3.260	6,646	-7,037	-51.43%			
Indonesia	1.714	3,495	-4,715	-57.43%			
Iran	1.158	2,362	-5,848	-71.23%			
Japan	25.081	51,135	37,452	273.71%			
Korea	8.037	16,385	2,702	19.75%			
Lao	0.022	45	-2,692	-98.37%			
Malaysia	1.087	2,215	-3,258	-59.53%			
Maldives	0.012	25	-5,448	-99.53%			
Mongolia	0.012	25	-5,448	-99.53%			
Myanmar	0.031	64	-2,673	-97.67%			
Nauru	0.003	6	-1,362	-99.53%			
Nepal	0.031	64	-5,410	-98.84%			
New Zealand	0.965	1,967	-6,243	-76.04%			
Pakistan	0.356	726	-7,484	-91.16%			
Papua New Guinea	0.031	64	-5,410	-98.84%			
Philippines	0.662	1,350	-4,124	-75.34%			
Samoa	0.003	6	-1,362	-99.53%			
Singapore	1.574	3,208	-2,265	-41.38%			
Solomon Islands	0.003	6	-2,730	-99.77%			
Sri Lanka	0.141	286	-7,923	-96.51%			
Thailand	1.149	2,343	-3,131	-57.20%			
Tonga	0.003	6	-1,362	-99.53%			
Vanuatu	0.003	6	-2,730	-99.77%			
Vietnam	0.290	592	-4,881	-89.18%			
	100.000	203,877	0				

# Variant 2 – UN Scale of Assessment (new UPU system) – at system design level

# Variant 3 – UN Scale of Assessment (new UPU system)



- Note this is assessed at practical application level, and takes into account how the UN Scale of Assessments applies in the UPU
- Re-establishes the link between UPU and APPU systems
- Equitable and fair i.e., no financial impact on APPU members
- UPU classes would be defined in unit-groupings in APPU Acts
- Treaty amendments have been explored

			Variant 3		
Member	UPU Contribution Units	APPU Contribution Units	Member Contribution Per Approved 2025 Budget	Member Contribution Per Current System of Contribution Units	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (\$)
Afghanistan	0.5	1	2,737	2,737	0
Australia	20	5	13,683	13,683	0
Bangladesh	3	4	10,946	10,946	0
Bhutan	1	1	2,737	2,737	0
Brunei Darussalam	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
Cambodia	1	1	2,737	2,737	0
China	40	5	13,683	13,683	0
Fiji	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
India	20	5	13,683	13,683	0
Indonesia	5	3	8,210	8,210	0
Iran	5	3	8,210	8,210	0
Japan	55	5	13,683	13,683	0
Korea	15	5	13,683	13,683	0
Lao	0.5	1	2,737	2,737	0
Malaysia	3	2	5,473	5,473	0
Maldives	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
Mongolia	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
Myanmar	1	1	2,737	2,737	0
Nauru	0.1	0.5	1,368	1,368	0
Nepal	0.5	2	5,473	5,473	0
New Zealand	5	3	8,210	8,210	0
Pakistan	9	3	8,210	8,210	0
Papua New Guinea	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
Philippines	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
Samoa	0.1	0.5	1,368	1,368	0
Singapore	4	2	5,473	5,473	0
Solomon Islands	0.5	1	2,737	2,737	0
Sri Lanka	3	3	8,210	8,210	0
Thailand	3	2	5,473	5,473	0
Tonga	0.1	0.5	1,368	1,368	0
Vanuatu	1	1	2,737	2,737	0
Vietnam	1	2	5,473	5,473	0
	-	74.5	203,877	203,877	0

Variant 3 – UN Scale of Assessment (new UPU system) – at practical application level

### Variant 4 – PAPU system (based on Scale of Assessments)



- Established for APPU using UN Scale of Assessment
- 5 Tiers created which group UN Scale as follows:
  - Tier 1: 1.001 upwards 5 members, 40% of budget
  - Tier 2 0.101 to 1.000 8 members, 30% of budget
  - Tier 3: 0.011 to 0.100 3 members, 8.75% of budget
  - Tier 4: 0.004 to 0.010 10 members, 18.75% of budget
  - Tier 5: 0.001 to 0.039 6 members, 2.5% share of budget
- 17 members decrease between -7% to -69%
- 15 members increase between 9% to 40%
- Assessment
  - In principle, decreases and increases should reflect outcomes similar to the UPU system change, albeit with much reduced financial impact
  - Potentially divisive methodology with debate re correct allocation of budget percentage to each Tier

Variant 4										
Ba	Base Information					Design and Calculation				
Member	Current Units	Current Contrib	UN Scale	APPU Tier	Tier Share of Budget for Cycle	Contrib Unit for Tier	Current Contrib	Variant 4 Contrib	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (\$)	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (%)
India	5	13,683	1.044				13,683	16,320	2,637	19%
Australia	5	13,683	2.111				13,683	16,320	2,637	19%
Korea	5	13,683	2.574	Tier 1	40%	16,320	13,683	16,320	2,637	19%
Japan	5	13,683	8.033				13,683	16,320	2,637	19%
China	5	13,683	15.254				13,683	16,320	2,637	19%
Pakistan	3	8,210	0.114				8,210	7,650	-560	-7%
Philippines	2	5,473	0.212				5,473	7,650	2,177	40%
New Zealand	3	8,210	0.309		Tier 2 30%		8,210	7,650	-560	-7%
Malaysia	2	5,473	0.348	T: 2		7.550	5,473	7,650	2,177	40%
Thailand	2	5,473	0.368	Her 2		7,650	5,473	7,650	2,177	40%
Iran	3	8,210	0.371				8,210	7,650	-560	-7%
Singapore	2	5,473	0.504				5,473	7,650	2,177	40%
Indonesia	3	8,210	0.549				8,210	7,650	-560	-7%
Brunei Darussalam	2	5,473	0.021			5,950	5,473	5,950	477	9%
Sri Lanka	3	8,210	0.045	Tier 3	Tier 3 8.75%		8,210	5,950	-2,260	- <b>2</b> 8%
Vietnam	2	5,473	0.093				5,473	5,950	477	9%
Fiji	2	5,473	0.004				5,473	3,825	-1,648	-30%
Maldives	2	5,473	0.004				5,473	3,825	-1,648	-30%
Mongolia	2	5,473	0.004				5,473	3,825	-1,648	-30%
Afghanistan	1	2,737	0.006				2,737	3,825	1,088	40%
Cambodia	1	2,737	0.007	Tier 4	18.75%	3,825	2,737	3,825	1,088	40%
Lao	1	2,737	0.007	11614	18.73%	3,623	2,737	3,825	1,088	40%
Bangladesh	4	10,946	0.010				10,946	3,825	-7,121	-65%
Myanmar	1	2,737	0.010				2,737	3,825	1,088	40%
Nepal	2	5,473	0.010				5,473	3,825	-1,648	-30%
Papua New Guinea	2	5,473	0.010				5,473	3,825	-1,648	-30%
Bhutan	1	2,737	0.001				2,737	850	-1,887	-69%
Nauru	0.5	1,368	0.001				1,368	850	-518	-38%
Samoa	0.5	1,368	0.001	Tier 5	2.50%	850	1,368	850	-518	-38%
Solomon Islands	1	2,737	0.001	TICI 3	2.50/0	030	2,737	850	-1,887	-69%
Tonga	0.5	1,368	0.001				1,368	850	-518	-38%
Vanuatu	1	2,737	0.001				2,737	850	-1,887	-69%
		203,877					203,877	204,000	123	

# Variant 4 – PAPU system (based on Scale of Assessments)

# Variant 5 – Status Quo (with PUASP-style management rules)



- No change to current contribution classes 5, 3, 2, 1, 0.5
- No reference to any other system or scale of assessment
- Voluntary selection of contribution class
- Reductions only possible at Congress (increases at any time)
- Provides scope to introduce more contribution classes e.g.,
  - 6, 7, 8 to provide flexibility for those with greater financial capacity
  - Below 1 unit (e.g., 0.25) to cater for those with less financial capacity
- Classes included in Acts; Congress Resolution records classes selected by members
- Treaty amendments drafted
- No financial impact on members

Variant 5 – Status Quo (with PUASPstyle management rules)

		Variant 5		
Member	Contribution Units	Member Contribution Per Approved 2025 Budget	Member Contribution Per Current System of Contribution Units	Change in Member Contribution v Current System (\$)
Afghanistan	1	2,737	2,737	0
Australia	5	13,683	13,683	0
Bangladesh	4	10,946	10,946	0
Bhutan	1	2,737	2,737	0
Brunei Darussalam	2	5,473	5,473	0
Cambodia	1	2,737	2,737	0
China	5	13,683	13,683	0
Fiji	2	5,473	5,473	0
India	5	13,683	13,683	0
Indonesia	3	8,210	8,210	0
Iran	3	8,210	8,210	0
Japan	5	13,683	13,683	0
Korea	5	13,683	13,683	0
Lao	1	2,737	2,737	0
Malaysia	2	5,473	5,473 5,473	
Maldives	2	5,473	5,473	0
Mongolia	2	5,473	5,473	0
Myanmar	1	2,737	2,737	0
Nauru	0.5	1,368	1,368	0
Nepal	2	5,473	5,473	0
New Zealand	3	8,210	8,210	0
Pakistan	3	8,210	8,210	0
Papua New Guinea	2	5,473	5,473	0
Philippines	2	5,473	5,473	0
Samoa	0.5	1,368	1,368	0
Singapore	2	5,473	5,473	0
Solomon Islands	1	2,737	2,737	0
Sri Lanka	3	8,210	8,210	0
Thailand	2	5,473	5,473	0
Tonga	0.5	1,368	1,368	0
Vanuatu	1	2,737	2,737	0
Vietnam	2	5,473	5,473	0
	74.5	203,877	203,877	0

# **Summary of assessment of Variants**

Feature	Variant 1	Variant 2	Variant 2 Variant 3		Variant 5
Document Ref	Appendix 2	Appendix 3	Appendix 4	Appendix 5	Appendix 6
System Type	Fixed and variable components	UN Scale of Assessments — system design level (UPU 1.0)	UN Scale of Assessments – practical application level (UPU 2.0)	PAPU Tiers based on Scale of Assessment	PUASP system based on voluntary unit selection
Degree of change from current system	Significant	Significant, albeit with UPU now using the system	Minor	Significant	Minor
Need to recalculate key components for new Congress cycle	Yes, but not significant work	Only contribution unit value for revised ceiling and unit numbers.	Only contribution unit value for revised ceiling and unit numbers.	Yes, but not significant work	Only contribution unit value for revised ceiling and unit numbers.
Sustainability	Untested, but two components will probably give rise to ongoing debate	System has created transitional difficulties in UPU	No issues	Untested, but two components will probably give rise to ongoing debate	No issues
Fairness (financial impacts on members)	Significant variations (from -42% to +16%)	Extremely significant variations (from -99% to +610%)	No change to current contribution levels	Significant variations (from -69% to +40%)	No change to current contribution levels
Equitability	No. Produces increases for a number of less financially able members and decreases for those with strong financial capacity.	No	Yes. Members have some control over their contribution class, and there is scope to increase contributions on a voluntary basis to a greater degree than currently applies. Does not allow members to automatically decrease contributions.	Undecided. Provides decreases for less financially able members and increases for those with strong financial capacity.	Yes. Members have some control over their contribution class, and there is scope to increase contributions on a voluntary basis to a much greater degree than currently applies. Allows members to decrease contributions.
Linked to UPU system	No	No	Yes	No	No
Revision of Acts	Requires new material to be drafted	Requires new material to be drafted	Minor change to text currently in Acts	Requires new material to be drafted	Requires new material to be drafted

# Variants that meet review criteria - 1



- Variant 3 UN Scale of Assessments (UPU new system) practical application level
- Variant 5 PUASP system based on voluntary unit selection
- Other three Variants
  - Complex compared to Variants 3 and 5
  - Result in contribution changes, some of which might be unacceptable

# Variants that meet review criteria - 2



- Both Variant 3 and Variant 5:
  - Retain a structure identical to current system
  - Have no financial impact on members
  - Are generally sustainable, fair and equitable UN Scale of Assessments (UPU new system) practical application level
- Variant 3 is not a voluntary system linked to UPU where some members are being encouraged to increase contributions up to Scale of Assessments level – this will increase those members' APPU contributions
- Variant 5 is voluntary members can increase or decrease contributions
- Amending the Acts might be easier for Variant 3 but not a major difference here

# **Preferred option**



- Both have positive aspects
- Variant 5 was regarded as the preferred option in 5 June JSC meeting (noting that Variant 3 had not been developed at that stage)
- Variants 3 and 5 are similar in merit
- Greater contribution flexibility for members in Variant 5 makes it the suggested preferred option

### **Decisions for JSC**



### • JSC recommends:

- Variant 5 be accepted, in principle, by the 2025 EC as the proposed new contribution class system for the APPU
- the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, undertakes any further work required on Variant 5 until such time as the membership confirms the proposed new contribution class system is considered fit-for-purpose; and
- the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, be available as required until the new contributions system is adopted by the Union for the purpose of supporting members and the Bureau with information and guidance on the proposals to ensure there is an efficient conclusion to the mandate from the 2022 APPU Congress per Resolution C 6/2022.

# **Development of the Financial Contributions System – decisions for EC**



### The EC is asked to:

- note the requirement for the JSC to identify a sustainable, fair and equitable contribution class system at the 2025 EC meeting
- note the background to the current system, its relationship with the former UPU system, and the need to find a new basis for the APPU system
- note the work undertaken by the JSC since the 2024 EC meeting
- **note** the five contribution class variants developed and the assessment of these variants
- note the two variants that meet the criteria for the new APPU contribution class system
- note the basis for deciding which of the two variants is submitted as the preferred option
- agree with the JSC recommendations that:
  - Variant 5 be accepted, in principle, by the 2025 EC as the proposed new contribution class system for the APPU;
  - the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, undertakes any further work required on Variant 5 until such time as the membership confirms the proposed new contribution class system is considered fit-for-purpose; and
  - the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, be available as required remains in place until the new contributions system is adopted by the Union for the purpose of supporting members and the Bureau with information and guidance on the proposals to ensure there is an efficient conclusion to the mandate from the 2022 APPU Congress per Resolution C 6/2022



# Agenda item 4

**Interim Contribution Unit** 

# Background



- Resolution C 4/2022 implemented interim 0.5-unit class for UPU 0.1-unit countries (SIDS, less that 200,000 population) (Nauru, Samoa, Tonga)
- 0.5-unit remains until new contributions system is agreed and put in Acts

# Link with other JSC work



- Overall study of financial contributions has two Variants that meet the criteria for a new system.
- Both options propose unit classes that include the 0.50 interim class for the UPU 0.1-unit APPU members.
- However, any change made to the interim contribution unit will result in an automatic update of the contribution unit classes proposed in the new system

# Basis for making a decision



- 2022 Congress accepted that any final decision would be arbitrary
  - No direct mathematical relationship between the UPU and APPU contribution class systems
  - APPU system has minimal financial distinction between members whereas UPU system has quite significant financial distinction between members
  - Scale of difference across all financial aspects of UPU and APPU means that meaningful comparisons or guidance do not exist

# Three options



- Continue with no change i.e., confirm 0.5 as the permanent contribution class
- Variant 1 0.25 units
- Variant 2 0.10 units

Element	Current: 0.50 Units	Variant 1: 0.25 Units	Variant 2: 0.10 Units
2025 Budget	203,877	203,877	203,877
No. of Contribution Units	74.50	73.75	73.30
<b>Contribution Unit Value</b>	2,737	2,764	2,781
Increase in Contribution Unit Value (\$)	N/A	28	45
Increase in Contribution Unit Value (%)	N/A	1.02%	1.64%
SIDS (<200,000 pop)	1,368	691	278

# Financial assessment of the three options

# Comment on financial assessment



- Impact of Variants 1 and 2 on other members is an increase of 1-2%
- Expectation is for a lower unit to be proposed (although there is no commitment to do this)
  - This expectation would rule out continuing with the 0.50-unit class
- Given the overall arbitrary nature of the subject, the financial assessment does not produce any significant information or direction. The countries involved should be invited to express a view, and then proceed to a final decision.

### **Interim Contribution Unit - decisions**



### The EC is asked to:

- **note** the background to the creation of an interim 0.50-unit contribution class at the 2022 Bangkok Congress for UPU 0.1-unit contribution class members
- **note** the requirement for the interim contribution class to be reviewed and formally inserted in the Acts of the Union
- **note** the link between the interim contribution unit and the overall review of the financial contributions system
- note the arbitrary nature of decision-making on this matter due to the absence of useful (comparative) guidance
- **note** the financial assessment across three options
- agree with the JSC recommendations for:
  - the creation of either a 0.25-unit contribution class or a 0.1-unit contribution class for the SIDS members with populations of less than 200,000 (i.e., Nauru, Samoa and Tonga); and
  - the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, be available as required to manage the process of the decision through to its adoption by the Union.



# Agenda item 5

**Management of Future Arrears** 

## Background



- Basis for considering future management of arrears is Resolution C 5/2022 ("Nauru's arrears in contributions to the APPU").
- 2024 EC agreed on steps APPU should take in future for arrears management (charge interest, implement amortisation schedules).
- 2024 EC did **not** agree to setting aside debt, sanctions, or credits
- 2025 EC JSC is required to propose an approach for management of any future arrears.

## **Commitments for JSC**



- Resolution EC 1/2024
  - The JSC will develop a proposal for an arrears management scheme for the APPU that:
    - **Includes** the discretional application of interest to arrears and amortisation schedules and associated time limits; but
    - Does not include credit transfers, sanctions, pathways to lift sanctions or pathways to release from amortisation schedules, and
  - The JSC will present a proposal to the 2025 Executive Council meeting for approval to be submitted to the 2026 Congress for decision.

# Proposal for future management of arrears in financial contributions



- Amendments have been prepared for Article 117 as follows:
  - 117.6 Option 1 no change (retain interest rates as currently drafted)
  - 117.6 Option 2 delete the interest rates from the Gen Regs, and replace the provision through Congress or EC setting / updating the rates from time to time by Resolution
  - Adding (for both Options 1 and 2):
    - 117.6 bis amortisation schedules (ten years in principle)
    - 117.6 ter unpaid debt cannot be cancelled or put aside
    - 117.6 quater any issues that cannot be solved will be dealt with by Congress

## Proposed texts - 1



### 117.6 Option 1

No change

## 117.6 Option 2

• .... For contributions for the administrative section, after that date, the sums due shall may, at the discretion of Congress or the Executive Council, be chargeable with interest in favour of the Union at the rate of 3 percent per annum for the first six months and of 6 percent per annum from the seventh month. rates prescribed in Resolutions from time to time by Congress or the Executive Council.

## Proposed texts - 2



Add new paragraph 6 bis (for both Options 1 and 2):

6 bis Where a member-country has more than three years' contributions owing (not including a current year), this will constitute a situation of arrears. In this case, the Executive Council, or Congress if appropriate, will, in conjunction with the member-country establish an amortisation schedule comprising the unpaid contributions and, at the discretion of the Union, interest. As a general rule, an amortisation schedule is limited to ten years for the completion of repayment, but this may be extended by mutual agreement.

## **Proposed texts - 3**



Add new paragraphs 6 ter and 6 quarter (for both Options 1 and 2):

6 ter Under no circumstances can unpaid contributions be cancelled or put aside. The same also applies to unpaid interest at the discretion of Congress or the Executive Council.

6 quater In the event of a member-country failing to complete its obligations under an amortisation schedule, Congress will consider the matter and implement remedial steps.

## **Management of Future Arrears - decisions**



#### The EC is asked to:

- **note** the background to the future management of arrears in contributions
- **note** the general support of the 2024 EC for a system to manage future arrears in contributions and the elements that such a system might contain
- note the requirement set down for the JSC at the 2025 EC meeting of developing and presenting a
  proposal for an arrears management scheme to then be submitted to the 2026 APPU Congress for
  decision
- note the two Options for the documentation of interest rates applicable to arrears and that the JSC will advise its decision in the 2025 EC meeting
- **approve** the JSC recommendations concerning the:
  - proposed amendments to General Regulations Article 117 (either Option 1 or Option 2 as decided by the JSC) to provide an arrears management scheme for the Union; and
  - JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, being available as required to manage the process of the decision through to its adoption by the 2026 Congress or by a later Treaty meeting.



# Agenda item 6

**Nauru's Arrears in Contributions** 

## Background



- Resolution C 5/2022 ("Nauru's arrears in contributions to the APPU")
- Nauru has arrears of US\$ 10,100 (for 2013 and 2015-2018)
- It asked the APPU (in 2019) for the arrears to be waived
- In accordance with agreed timings, at the 2024 EC meeting the JSC identified and assessed
   Naurus's historical arrears
- At the 2025 EC meeting, the JSC is required to propose a resolution of Nauru's historical arrears

## **Guidance for decision**



- Initial consideration of the matter (2019-2022) focused on debt release i.e., under for what reasons and under what conditions could the debt be waived
- 2022 Congress Resolution C 5/2022 provided guidance
  - Outcome should be based on system adopted by UPU in 2021

with a significant proviso

- Any decision taken by the 2022 Congress in this matter cannot limit the ability of the 2022-2026 EC to take current and new factors into consideration in arriving at a final proposal for releasing Nauru from its historical debt, ..."
  - 2024 EC decided that, for arrears in contributions, the APPU would focus on <u>arrears management</u> and not debt release

## Nauru's Arrears in Contributions - decisions



#### The EC is asked to:

- **note** the background to Nauru's historic arrears in contributions, including initial representations at the 2022 APPU Congress
- **note** initial guidance for dealing with the issue from the 2022 APPU Congress
- **note** the proviso for also taking post-Congress factors into account to deal with the issue
- note JSC observations on debt release v arrears management
- **note** 2024 EC views on managing existing and future arrears, and the setting aside of unpaid debt
- **agree** with the JSC recommendations that:
  - Nauru's arrears be managed using the UPU process for seeking payment in full; and
  - an amortisation schedule be established for recovery of the full arrears over a period agreed between Nauru and the EC
- approve a draft 2026 Congress Resolution that enables this matter to be finalised
- agree that the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant, be available as required to manage the process of the mater through to its adoption by the 2026 Congress

## **Draft Resolution**



- EXECUTIVE COUNCIL Resolution Nauru's arrears in contributions to the APPU
- Congress,
- recalling the request made at the 2022 APPU Bangkok Congress for the EC, in conjunction with the Bureau, to complete a review of the historic arrears in contributions on the part of Nauru and to bring a solution to the 2026 APPU Congress in an appropriate Resolution,
- **further recalling** the guidance provided to the EC in Resolution C 5/2022 and in paragraph 9.2 of the supporting document Congress 2022 Doc 24.7 Rev 1, as well as the proviso in Resolution C 5/2022 giving the EC scope to take into account any new factors in order to arrive at a final proposal
- noting the work undertaken by the JSC for the 2024 EC meeting where it presented how the full arrears
  management system of the UPU operated and the context in which debt forgiveness and setting aside come
  into play
- further noting that in terms of the APPU basing its process for the Nauru arrears on the system adopted by the UPU in 2021, that there are elements of that system that precede debt forgiveness and setting aside of unpaid balances
- agrees that the next step with the Nauru situation is to manage the repayment of arrears through the
  establishment of an amortisation schedule with its timeframe being agreed between Nauru and the EC
- **directs** the EC, in conjunction with Nauru and the Bureau, to implement the amortisation schedule and to report to the 2030 Congress on the outcome.

# Report of the JSC of the Finance Committee and the Reform Working Group - decisions



#### The EC is asked to:

- **note** the JSC work programme
- **note** the detailed supporting documents
- **note** the information and positions reached on the review of:
  - the financial contributions system
  - the interim arrangement to accommodate the UPU 0.1-unit contribution class members
  - the future policy and management system for arrears in member contributions
  - Nauru's arrears in contributions
- note and approve the individual recommendations on each of the matters i.e.,
  - the financial contributions system
  - the interim arrangement to accommodate the UPU 0.1-unit contribution class members
  - the future policy and management system for arrears in member contributions
  - Nauru's arrears in contributions
- **note** the next steps for the JSC, supported by the Reform Consultant
- note that, subject to approval of the recommendations in EC 2025 Doc 17.1, substantial progress has been made on the work programme.



# Agenda item 7

Any other business?